



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 3-06-01 <sup>13</sup>

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) R. Danny Huntington, Applicant's Counsel; Brian P. Barrett, Applicant's Counsel  
(2) Malcolm K. McGowan, Applicant's Counsel; Ponnathapara Achutamurthy, Primary Examiner  
William W. Moore, Examiner

Date of interview 6 March 2001

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: A description of the different nucleotides assigned to third positions in Protein Codons 99 and 214 of Foster et al.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached. Not Applicable

Claims discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed: Figures 2 and 3 of the Foster et al. '626, '667, and '529 patents

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was noted that different publications of Foster et al., and different figures in the same publication of Foster et al., assign different nucleotides to the third position for the 99th and 214th codons of the zymogen human Protein C coding sequence.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

William W. Moore  
Examiner's Signature